

COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN MELATONIN HORMONE AND VAGINAL SPONGES PLUS ECG ON EFFECT OF FERTILITY IN LACTATING IRAQI NUAIMY EWES

Al-Hamedawi T.M¹, Mohammed A.H², Al-Yasiri E.A¹ and Athab M.L²

¹College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad, Iraq. ²Technical Institute of Al-Musaib, University of Al-Forat Al-Awsat, Iraq.

Abstract

This study was conducted on 45 lactating Iraqi Nuaimy ewes (50-60days postpartum) in Al-Musaib city / Babylon province during the period from March - December /2019, aged from 3-6 years, divided randomly into three equal groups (15 ewes of each) according to the type of hormonal treatment, 1st group were treated with Melatonin hormone implants S/C (18mg/ewe) for 30days (isolated from rams), 2nd group were treated with vaginal sponges (20mg Medroxy acetate progesterone) for 12days withdrawal injected 500IU eCG/ IM directly, while the 3rd group represented control group (without treatment). The results showed that the responsive animals were recorded 13/1'5 (86.6%) & 14/15 (93.3%) and 8/15 (53.3%) in 1st, 2nd and 3rd groups respectively with duration of response recorded significantly differences (P<0.01) related with 2nd group compared with 3rd group. The pregnancy rate was showed a significantly (P<0.01) in control group compared with treated groups. Nature of parturition revealed 90% normal and 10% dystocial parturition, while the twinning rate was recorded significantly (P<0.01) in treated groups compared with 10% of dead. In conclusion, the use of hormonal treatments (Melatonin or vaginal sponges plus eCG) was effective for improvement reproductive performance which include fertility, days open, pregnancy and twinning rate.

Key words: Melatonin, Nuaimy ewes, eCG.

Introduction

Ewes are one of the most domestic animals must consume feed containing essential nutritional to support body function as well as various reproductive activity include pregnancy and lactation (Al-Hamedawi et al., 2003; Iida et al., 2004; Noakes et al., 2008). One of the most important characteristics of ovine reproduction is seasonality (Romano et al., 1996; Abecia et al., 2012), the main reason which explain the seasonal reproduction in ewes include late summer or early autumn represented the season in tropical area but in subtropical the seasonality may be absent (Vinoles et al., 2001; Abdalla et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2016). Hormonal treatment used out of season include vaginal sponges and eCG in various doses represented the main treatment regime for rebound the activity of reproductive system (Das et al., 2000; Revel et al., 2009; Ozyurtlu et al., 2010). Recently melatonin, a natural hormone related with photoperiod

*Author for correspondence : E-mail: enasali182@gmail.com

signals to the reproductive neuro-endocrine axis and is present in all domestic animals, this hormone is synthesis from tryptophan and serotonin in the pineal gland which effected on hypothalamus to secret GnRH (Zaiem *et al.*, 1996; Gomez *et al.*, 2006; Abecia *et al.*, 2011). Many authors recorded the important effect of melatonin implants for improvement of reproductive performance on many reproductive parameters such as reducing days open, lambing rate and twinning rate (Miszat *et al.*, 2002; Forcada *et al.*, 2002; Taplur *et al.*, 2018). So the purpose of this study is to evaluate the use of melatonin implants compared with other hormonal treatments (vaginal sponge plus eCG) in improvement of fertility in lactating Iraqi Nuaimy ewes.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted on 45 lactating Iraqi Nuaimy ewes (50-60days postpartum) in Al-Musaib city / Babylon province during the period from March -December /2019, aged from 3-6 years, divided randomly

Groups	No. of	Turn a affensa formart	Respons	se animals	Duration of response						
	animals	Type of treatment	No. %		(days) M±SE						
Gl	15	Molatonin implants /S.C. 18mg /20 days	13	86.6	11.26±3.12						
		Meratonin implants /S.C. 18mg / 50 days	b		b						
G2	15	Variationaria 12 days/20ma (DMSC 50011/DM	14	93.3	4.82±1.17						
		vaginal sponges 12 days/20mg+PMSG 50010/1M	а		a						
G	15	Without treatment	8	53.3	78.36±9.57						
		without treatment	с		с						
Total	45	-	33	73.3	-						
Different small letters means sig. differences (P<0.01) between groups.											

Table 1: Type of treatment, response animals and duration of response in Iraqi Nuaimy ewes.

into three equal groups (15 ewes of each) according to the type of hormonal treatment, 1st group were treated with Melatonin hormone implants S/C (18mg/ewe) for 30days (isolated from rams), 2nd group were treated with vaginal sponges (20mg Medroxy acetate progesterone) for 12days withdrawal injected 500IU eCG/ IM directly, while the 3rd group represented control group (without treatment). Animal response, duration of response as well as pregnancy rate, nature and type of parturition and the viability of kids have been studied. Statistical analysis including mean, standard error, Chi-square and F-test were used according to Steel and Torrie, (1988).

Results and Discussion

The result showed in table 1, the type of treatment, the responsive animals and duration of response, it was 86.6, 93.3 and 53.3% for 1st, 2nd and 3rd group respectively with a significant differences (P<0.01) for the 2nd group compared with 1st and 3rd groups and for the 1st group compared with 3rd group in the response to treatment and that agreed with (Abecia *et al.*, 2011) who recorded response rate of 80-85% by using vaginal sponges and (Gomez *et al.*, 2006) who recorded 75-80% by using melatonin implants. The duration of response in the groups were 11.26±3.12, 4.82±1.17 and 78.36±9.57 respectively this agreed with (Zaiem *et al.*, 1996) who recorded duration of response after sponges withdrawal 4.08±1.02 and 4.13±0.77. While the present study recorded a significant differences (P<0.01) in the 2nd group compared with 1st and 3rd groups and for the 1st group compared with 3^{rd} group and these results are agreed with (12, 13) that the progestagen sponges plus eCG and melatonin implants improved the reproductive performance of ewes. In table 2, the pregnancy rate were significantly higher (P<0.01) in 3rd group (100%) compared with 1st and 2nd groups (84.6%, 91.9%) respectively and for the 2nd group compared with 1st group while the nature of parturition was 100% normal in 3rd group with a significant differences (P<0.01) compared with 90.9% normal parturition and 9.1% dystocia in the 1st group and 81.8% normal parturition and 19.2% dystocial parturition in the 2^{nd} group and there were a significant differences between 1st and 2nd group in (P<0.01) for the 1st group, but a significant differences (P<0.01) was recorded for the normal parturition 90% compared with dystocia 10%. The twinning rate was 33.3% in all groups compared with 66.7% single and this agreed with (Zaiem et al., 1996) who recorded 83.3% for vaginal sponges and with (Gomez et al., 2006) who recorded 78% for progestagen and eCG; 78% for melatonin versus 65% for the control. A significant differences (P<0.01) for the single parturition, the twinning rate was (36.7%, 45.5% and 12.5%) for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd group respectively. Alive fetuses recorded 90% in all groups (86.6%, 87.5% and 100%) respectively this results agreed with (16, 17) (that melatonin play a role on embryo viability in sheep), which

C	Response	Pregnancy rate		Nature of parturition		Type of parturition		Viability of kids			
Groups	animals	No.	%	N/%	D/%	S.	Т.	A./%	D. /%		
G1	12	11	84.6	10	1	7	4	13	2		
	15	c		90.9	9.1 b	63.3	36.7 a	86.6	13.4		
G2	12	11	91.9	9	2	6	5	14	2		
		b		81.8	19.2 c	54.5	45.5 b	87.5	12.5		
G3	8	8	100	8	0	7	1	9	0		
		a		100	0 a	87.5	12.5 c	100	0		
Total	33	30/33	90.9	27/30 a	3/30	20/30	10/30	36/40	4/40		
		b	а	90 a	10 b	66.7 a	33.3 b	90 a	10 b		
Different small letters means sig. differences (P<0.01) between groups; N: normal, D: dystocia, S: single, T: twins, A: Alive, D: dead.											

Table 2: Pregnancy rate, Nature and type of parturition and viability of off spring in Iraqi Nuaimy ewes.

was significantly higher (P<0.01) than dead fetuses 10% (2%, 2%, 0%) for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd group respectively, which in agreement with (Forcada *et al.*, 2002; Kumar *et al.*, 2016) that vaginal sponges and melatonin implants improved the reproductive performance on many reproductive parameters such as reducing days open, lambing rate and twinning rate.

References

- Al-Hamedawi, T.M., D.J. Khammas and A.S. Al-Ubaidi (2003). Effect of estrus synchronization on vaginal flora and subsequent fertility in ewes. *Iraqi J. Vet. Sci.*, 16: 73-79.
- Abecia, J.A., F. Forcada and A. Gonzalez-Bulnes (2012). Hormonal control of reproduction in small ruminants. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, **130**: 173-179.
- Abdalla, E.B., B. Farragl, A.L.S. Hashem, F.A. Khalil and M.S. Abdel-Fattah (2014). Effect of progestagen, PGF2α, PMSG and GnRH on estrus synchronization and some reproductive and productive traits in Barki ewes. *Journal of Agroalimentary Processes and Technologies*, **20(1)**: 93-101.
- Abecia, J.A., F. Forcada and A. Gonzalez-Bulnes (2011). Pharmaceutical control of reproduction in sheep and goats. Veterinary Clinics of North America: *Food Animal Practice*, 27: 67-79.
- Das, G.K., S.M.K. Naqvi, R. Gulyani, S.R. Pareek and J.P. Mittal (2000). Effect of two doses of progesterone on estrus response and fertility in acycling crossbred Bharat Merino ewes in a semi-arid tropical environment. *Small Ruminant Research*, 37: 159-163.
- Forcada, F., J.A. Abecia and O. Zuniga (2002). Variation in the ability of melatonin implants inserted at two different times after the winter solstice to restore reproductive activity in reduced seasonality ewes. *Australian J. of Agricultural Research*, 53: 167-173.
- Gomez, J.D., S. Balasch, L.D. Gomez and N. Frenandez (2006). A comparison between intravaginal progestagen and melatonin implant treatments on the reproductive efficiency of ewes. *Small Ruminant Research*, **66(1)**: 156-163.
- Iida, K., N. Kobayashi, H. Kohno, A. Miyamoto and Y. Fukui (2004). A comparative study of induction of estrus and ovulation by three different intravaginal devices in ewes

during the non-breeding season. J. Reprod. Dev., **50:** 63-69.

- Kumar, B.H., K.V. Bramhaiah, M. Srinivas, B. Ekambaram and N. Dhanalakshmi (2016). Effect of estrus synchronization by progesterone sponge along with PMSG on estrus response and fertility in Nellore Jodipi ewe lambs. *Theriogenology Insight*, 6(3): 135-141.
- Miszat, T., K. Romanowicz and B. Barcikowski (2002). Melatonin-a modulator of the GnRH/LH axis in sheep. *Reproduction Biology*, **2:** 267-275.
- Noakes, D.E., T.J. Parkinson and GC.W. England (2008). Arthur's veterinary Reproduction and Obstetrics, W. B. Saunders Co. Ltd.
- Ozyurtlu, N., I. Kucukaslan and Y. Centin (2010). Characterization of oestrus induction response, oestrus duration, fecundity and fertility in Awassi ewes during the non breeding season utilizing both CIDR and intra vaginal sponge treatments. *Reprod. Domest. Anim.* **45(3):** 464-467.
- Romano, J.E., E. Rodas, A. Ferreira, I. Lago and A. Benech (1996). Effect of progestagen, PMSG and artificial insemination time on fertility and prolificacy in Corriedale ewes. *Small Rumin Res.*, 23: 157-162.
- Revel, F.G., M. Masson-Pevet, P. Pevet, J.D. Mikkelsen and V. Simonneaux (2009). Melatonin controls seasonal breeding by a network of hypothalamic targets. *Neuro-endocrinology*, **90(1):** 1-14.
- Steel, R.G. and J.H. Torrie (1988). Principles and Procedures of Statistics, A biometrical approach. 4th Ed., McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, USA.
- Taplur, H.S., I.B. Chandio, R.D. Brohi, T. Worku, Z. Rehman, D. Bhattarai, F. Ullah, L. Jiajia and L. Yang (2018). Research progress on the role of melatonin and its receptors in animal reproduction: A comprehensive review. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals*, 53: 831-849.
- Vinoles, C., M. Forsberg, G. Banchero and E. Rubianes (2001). Effect of long-term and short-term progestagen treatment on follicular development and pregnancy rate in cyclic ewes. *Theriogenology*, 55: 993-1004.25.
- Zaiem, I., D. Tainturier, J. ChemLi and M. Soltani (1996). Vaginal sponges and different PMSG doses to improve breeding performances of Black Thibar ewes. *Rev. Med. Vet.*, 147: 305-310.